Analytical limitations on age uncertainty - solution U/Pb & LA Pb-Pb

Matt Horstwood, Nick Roberts & Pieter Vermeesch

San Francisco – "Create & distribute U-Th-Pb solution to determine ICPMS bottom line for simple Pb/U. These analyses will help evaluate the best possible precision of Pb/U ratios by ICPMS where no interferences are present." Stern & Amelin 2002 – determined overdispersion in Pb/Pb and Pb/U for SHRIMP using NIST glass and reference zircons This is an on-going study.....



NBS981 207Pb/206Pb meas multi-ion counting





NIST 614 (optimal dwell times)

data-point error symbols are 1o



NIST 612 (U-Pb dwell times)

data-point error symbols are 1σ











Conclusions

- Dwell times need optimising to obtain ultimate precision
- Optimum dwell time is equivalent to a true count ratio of 1:1
- Optimum dwell times change with ratio of sample
- Best solution would be to change dwell times 'on-the-fly' manufacturer request?
- MC inherently not optimised for true count ratio of 1 but improved counting stats more beneficial
- Precision for SC measurements could be improved by factor of 2-10 if dwell times were optimised
- 310ppm overdispersion of Pb/Pb MC data
- 0.25% overdispersion of U/Pb data on a bad day
- No apparent overdispersion after analysing NIST 614 by SC with optimised dwell times or NIST 612 with U-Pb dwell times (at 150kcps 206). This suggests no overdisperson at this level of uncertainty .
- Overdispersion of Pb/Pb and U-Pb data represents limit to which uncertainty can be reduced for this session (additional uncertainty component?). Consistent reproduction of this will allow characterisation of instrument/set-up